

Kenneth A. Jones, et al.
Serial No.: 09/211,755
Filed: December 15, 1998
Page 8

specification, as originally-filed, state:

Throughout this application, various references are referred to within parentheses. Disclosures of these publications in their entirety are hereby incorporated by reference into this application to more fully describe the state of the art to which this invention pertains. Full bibliographic citation for these references may be found at the end of this application, preceding the sequence listing and the claims.

At page 4, lines 20-33, the GABA_BR1a and GABA_BR1b polypeptides are discussed and a citation to the Kaupmann, et al. paper is provided in parenthesis. The full citation for the Kaupmann, et al. paper is set forth on page 131, lines 6-11 of the specification, as originally-filed.

M.P.E.P. Section 608.01(p) states:

The filing date of any application wherein essential material is improperly incorporated by reference to a foreign application or patent or to a publication will not be affected because of the reference. In such a case, the applicant will be required to amend the specification to include the material incorporated by reference. . . . The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. . . .

Applicants have amended the specification to include the amino acid sequences of the GABA_BR1a and GABA_BR1b polypeptides

Kenneth A. Jones, et al.
Serial No.: 09/211,755
Filed: December 15, 1998
Page 9

disclosed by Kaupmann, et al. as provided for in M.P.E.P. Section 608.01(p). In support of this amendment, applicants attach hereto as **Exhibit 3** a Declaration of Beth E. Borowsky pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.132. In her declaration, Dr. Borowsky states that she incorporated by reference a paper by Kaupmann, et al. entitled "Expression cloning of GABA_B receptors uncovers similarity to metabotropic glutamate receptors", *Nature* (1997) **386**: 239-246 (the "Kaupmann Paper") into the subject application to define the amino acid sequences of the GABA_BR1a and GABA_BR1b polypeptides. Dr. Borowsky further states that the amendatory material, namely, the addition of the amino acid sequences of the GABA_BR1a and GABA_BR1b polypeptides to the specification of the subject application, consists of the same material which she incorporated by reference into the subject application to define the amino acid sequences of the GABA_BR1a and GABA_BR1b polypeptides.

Applicants maintain that the amendments to claims 208, 213, 224, and 231 raise no issue of new matter. Support for the amendments to claims 208, 213, 224, and 231 may be found inter alia in the specification, as amended, on page 26, line 3+; page 43, line 2+; Figure 24A-24D and Figure 25A-25D. Applicants respectfully request that the Amendment be entered.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 112, First Paragraph

On page 3 of the October 30, 2000 Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 208, 210, 213, 214, 221-225, 228-231, 234, and 236-240 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as put

Kenneth A. Jones, et al.
Serial No.: 09/211,755
Filed: December 15, 1998
Page 10

forth in Paper 12 (item 8). The Examiner acknowledged that the specification is enabling for a method of identifying agonists of the GABA_BR1/R2 receptor wherein the GABA_BR1 receptor is either of the splice variants disclosed by Kaupmann, et al., referred to in the specification on page 4, and wherein the GABA_BR2 receptor is either of the polypeptides disclosed in the instant application as SEQ ID NO: 2, 4, or 47, or a polypeptide having an amino acid sequence identical to that encoded by the plasmid deposited as ATCC Accession No. 209104 or 203515, but alleged that the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of identifying agonists of the GABA_BR1/R2 receptor wherein the receptor GABA_BR1/R2 comprises polypeptides other than those recited above.

The Examiner then concluded that it would require undue experimentation on the part of any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In an attempt to advance the prosecution of the subject application, but without conceding the correctness of the Examiner's position, applicants have amended claims 208, 213, 224, and 231. Amended claims 208, 213, 224, and 231 are directed to a mammalian GABA_BR1/R2 receptor which comprises a GABA_BR1 polypeptide and a GABA_BR2 polypeptide, wherein the GABA_BR1 polypeptide has an amino acid sequence identical to the amino acid sequence shown in Figures 24A-24D (SEQ ID NO: 48) or Figures 25A-25D (SEQ ID NO: 49), and the GABA_BR2 polypeptide has an amino acid sequence (a) identical to the amino acid sequence shown in

Kenneth A. Jones, et al.
Serial No.: 09/211,755
Filed: December 15, 1998
Page 11

Figures 4A-4D (SEQ ID NO: 4) or Figures 23A-23D (SEQ ID NO: 47) or (b) encoded by a nucleic acid sequence identical to the receptor-encoding nucleic acid sequence contained in plasmid pEXJT3T7-hGABAB2 (ATCC Accession No. 203515) or in plasmid BO-55 (ATCC Accession No. 209104). Claims 210, 214, 221-223, 225, 228-230, and 233-240 are all directly or indirectly dependent upon claims 208, 213, 224, and 231.

Applicants maintain that the specification is enabling for the pending claims because the pending claims characterize the GABA_BR1 receptor and the GABA_BR2 receptor by reference to an amino acid sequence set forth in a Figure and/or a nucleic acid sequence contained in a plasmid. Applicants note that the Examiner has acknowledged on page 3 of the October 30, 2000 Office Action that claims of this scope are enabled by the instant specification.


In summary, in view of the amendments and remarks made hereinabove, applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejection set forth in the October 30, 2000 Final Office Action and earnestly solicit allowance of the claims now pending in the subject application, namely claims 208, 210, 213, 214, 221-225, 228-231, and 233-240.

If a telephone interview would be of assistance in advancing prosecution of the subject application, applicants' undersigned attorney invites the Examiner to telephone him at the number provided.

Kenneth A. Jones, et al.
Serial No.: 09/211,755
Filed: December 15, 1998
Page 12


No fee, other than the fee for a one-month extension of time, is deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this Amendment. However, if any additional fee is required, authorization is hereby given to charge the amount of such fee to Deposit Account No. 03-3125.

Respectfully submitted,



John P. White
Registration No. 28,678
Attorney for Applicants
Cooper & Dunham LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
(212) 278-0400

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited this date with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

 John P. White
Reg. No. 28,678

2/26/01
Date